P20

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references 1896-97 ~~192~~ .

File No. 75

Serial Nos. 1

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Note.
These papers were sent down to the other file sent down by Revenue Department vide Endorsement dated 2/11/21 GM.

Serial Nos. 1—13

Part B for August 98

SUBJECT.

Claim of Erabhadrappa & others for the lands of Shabadalli Sha. Khadri Sulthan required by the City Municipality for the Proposed Victoria Road Bangalore City—

To,

Erabhadrappa and others
Shabadalli Sha Khadri
Bangalore

No 535/Rev dt 24.8.98.

Sl 13- Endorsement to the above stating that their claim is rejected

Countersigned

1-96

Rec. 15-96

The Petns, who are disciples of ~~the~~ Shafdarulli Sha Khadri complain that a certain Land belonging to the Mutham has been appropriated by Govt without compensation

The only document produced by them is an endorsement granted by the Munsif Pres[t] in 1891, to the effect that Safdar Alli Sha Khadri applied for permission to fill up the big hollow near his mutham at his own cost and construct a pond and plant trees there for the benefit of the public, and that ~~the~~ permission was granted.

The Pres[t] has not been able to procure any further information as to when the alleged acquisition by Govt was made. The Tahsildar in reported to have stated that the land claimed belongs to Govt. Upon such scanty material it does not seem possible to pass any order.

Subd Collr 7/1/98

Send the Pesident a sketch and a map, & a report whether it has now been taken away from the Mutham.

11-1-98
A S Chan

The above order having been communicated to the resident in this office c/o 8170 of 18/1/98 (Dis C) 303/98 submits a plan from which it would appear that more than half the site claimed by the Mutham was taken over by the City Improvement Committee

Submitted 19/3/98

received a sketch plan of some land in question

Send the papers to the Tahsildar with the following remarks

1. Is the land claimed by the Petitioners Govt land?
2. Is it a tank bund?
3. Is it a channel?
4. Is it a road?
5. Is it a burial ground?
6. Is it a cremation ground?
7. Is it a choultry?
8. Is it a pandal?
9. Is it a pial?
10. Is it a well?
11. Is it a tree?
12. Is it a hedge?
13. Is it a fence?
14. Is it a wall?
15. Is it a house?
16. Is it a shop?
17. Is it a godown?
18. Is it a factory?
19. Is it a mill?
20. Is it a workshop?
21. Is it a garage?
22. Is it a petrol bunk?
23. Is it a hotel?
24. Is it a restaurant?
25. Is it a cafe?
26. Is it a bar?
27. Is it a club?
28. Is it a gymkhana?
29. Is it a stadium?
30. Is it a cricket ground?
31. Is it a football ground?
32. Is it a hockey ground?
33. Is it a tennis court?
34. Is it a swimming pool?
35. Is it a golf course?
36. Is it a polo ground?
37. Is it a racecourse?
38. Is it a horse stable?
39. Is it a cattle shed?
40. Is it a dairy farm?
41. Is it a poultry farm?
42. Is it a piggery?
43. Is it a kennel?
44. Is it a cattery?
45. Is it a zoo?
46. Is it a museum?
47. Is it a library?
48. Is it a school?
49. Is it a college?
50. Is it a university?
51. Is it a hospital?
52. Is it a dispensary?
53. Is it a clinic?
54. Is it a laboratory?
55. Is it a research institute?
56. Is it a factory?
57. Is it a mill?
58. Is it a workshop?
59. Is it a garage?
60. Is it a petrol bunk?
61. Is it a hotel?
62. Is it a restaurant?
63. Is it a cafe?
64. Is it a bar?
65. Is it a club?
66. Is it a gymkhana?
67. Is it a stadium?
68. Is it a cricket ground?
69. Is it a football ground?
70. Is it a hockey ground?
71. Is it a tennis court?
72. Is it a swimming pool?
73. Is it a golf course?
74. Is it a polo ground?
75. Is it a racecourse?
76. Is it a horse stable?
77. Is it a cattle shed?
78. Is it a dairy farm?
79. Is it a poultry farm?
80. Is it a piggery?
81. Is it a kennel?
82. Is it a cattery?
83. Is it a zoo?
84. Is it a museum?
85. Is it a library?
86. Is it a school?
87. Is it a college?
88. Is it a university?
89. Is it a hospital?
90. Is it a dispensary?
91. Is it a clinic?
92. Is it a laboratory?
93. Is it a research institute?
94. Is it a factory?
95. Is it a mill?
96. Is it a workshop?
97. Is it a garage?
98. Is it a petrol bunk?
99. Is it a hotel?
100. Is it a restaurant?

2 Rs

1897-8 4140

From

The President,

Bangalore City Municipality.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Dated 16th Genl & Rev. Dept.

Despatched 18th Bangalore

Received April 98

General No. 214

Enclosure No.

Sir

I am directed to inform you that there were a few trees on the premises of the house said to belong to the Shahdanalli Sha Khadri Mathem and taken up by the late City Improvement Committee.

City Improvement Committee seem to have passed their Resolution regarding Medical & Cattle trees accordingly. Before effecting their Resolution see No 789 of 1896 in S. but no order was passed thereon (Vide Proceedings of Executive dated 23/10/97) - The Government Premises in question consist of alleged title is definite - But that some trees on the premises said to belong to the Shahdanalli Sha Khadri Mathem and taken up by the late City Improvement Committee, seem from the Records present Resolution further enquiry and determination - This may be entertained by Government under the Resolution of the President - The Committee is therefore of opinion that no question should be raised on this head - The information may be furnished as early as convenient &

3

[illegible] claim
[illegible] rejected. It is
not a [illegible] matter
[illegible] have a
[illegible] against the [illegible]
as whose agent the
[illegible] movement [illegible]
[illegible] took up the
land.

[illegible]
Eastern Bell

22/4/98

[illegible] 22.4.98
6 P.m.

[illegible]

Register and Issue Jr.
L. B. Branch.
Receipts orders
not produced. 11/5/97
Ends V.R.D.

Read
15/5/97

Nil 75-961.

17 226K

4 1897 (2)

No. 32 9/5 97.

Name of Petitioner Kesabhadrappa to the

Village Jack Bangalore

Taluk Bangalore

District Bangalore.

Date of Arzi 10th May 1897

Date of Receipt 10th May 1897

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil.

ABSTRACT.

Complain that the Municipal Board of Bangalore, has taken [illegible]



(3)

No. 12474 / LF 303-96 Re: one Petn

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

~~PETITION DEPARTMENT.~~

Local Bd's Branch

Mil 75-96-2

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 3294 / L 2262

dated 10 May 1897 received at the Dewan's Office from Enabadrappa and two others near Bangalore Fort complaining that the Mund Bd has taken certain lands & trees belonging to Shabdarali Sha Khadir Mallan thereof is forwarded, ~~together with its~~ urban taluq comprising ~~enclosure~~, to the President, Bangalore City Municipality for report.

RL 2158

By order,

Secretary

BANGALORE,

18/5/1897

37
1397
3614
From 29-10-97

The President,

Bangalore City Municipality.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Dated 27 Genl & Rev. Depts

Received Oct/97

General No. 92

No.

Enclosure No. two

Submitting a brief report with reference to Govt Official Memorandum No. 12641 / 2.7303-96 dy 18th May'97 in re the lands Claimed by Veerabhadra Rao & others as belonging to Shabdar-ali-Saib Khadri Malik &c.

Mr 75-96 dy

1388 29/10/97

Noted with thanks the receipt of the enclosure.

Records

(2)

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,
City Bangalore, 23d Oct / 1897

No. 92
Ex 2
From

The President, Municipal Commission,

Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your official Memorandum No 13471 / 17.303-96 dt 18th May 97 and subsequent reminder No 4255 / 17.303-96 of the 11th Instant, I have the honor to state that the petitioners Veerabhadrappa and two others of Shakdaralli Shah Khadri Makkan applied also to me on the 23d September 1896. On inspection of the spot I ordered the petitioners to produce any documents possessed by them in support of their claim, which they failed to do, and on the 16th March 1897 I gave ...

an endorsement rejecting their petition

2.- On receipt of your Official Memorandum under reply a notice was issued to petitioners to attend the Office with documents possessed by them. They accordingly attended on the 23rd June 1897 and, on examination, stated that they obtained the land for the Mallikan from the Municipality in 1871 & presented the original Endorsement No 203 C/4" September 1871. This document does not state the extent of land granted and it purports to have been granted on condition that the grantees undertake to fill up the deep pit and form a katte and plant coconuts for charitable purposes. On being asked how they mentioned in their petition to Government that the extent of their land was nearly 6

Sir the

South 290 ft and 9 each to west 425 ft
in the absence of any reference to
the extent either in the endorsement
produced by them or in their peti-
-tion dated 23rd May 1870 to the Bangalore
Sahib. They stated that they only
entered the measurement as actually
found by them now, and that they
possessed no documents in support
of their statement. They also stated
that the "Registered Bond and the
original document of their claim"
which they assert as being in their
possession and enjoyment for
the last 26 years (vide para 4 of
Petition to Government) are no other
than the endorsement and petition
produced by them. At this stage
of the enquiry they wanted to go
alleging that they had

work that day promising to return the next day. The above statements were reduced to writing and signed by the petitioners in my presence.

3.- As they did not attend as promised another notice was issued to them requiring them to appear on the 23d July to which they replied that one Nanjundappa who possessed the documents relating to their claim was absent in Bellary and applied for three weeks time but did not at all appear.

4. Meanwhile a reference was also made to the Bangalore Bank calling for information as to how this land was been treated in the Survey and Settlement and how the Lake Cauvery Improvement Committee required

acquired it but no reply has been received as yet though two reminders were sent.

5. The petitioners presented two applications one in January 1891 and another in December 1895 urging their claims for compensation but these do not seem to have been disposed of. Their names also do not appear in the Compensation lists prepared by the City Improvement Committee when the Western Extension was formed.

6. As I, however, find from the report of the late City Improvement Committee that the Albert Victor Road was first opened by the Public Works Department I am now writing to the Executive Engineer, Bungalow Division

enquiring if, when forming the road, it was ascertained whether the land in question belonged to Government or private parties.

I. The petition received with Your Memorandum under reply is herewith returned together with its one enclosure.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obt Servt

T. F. Conant

Encl

BALTIMORE CITY.

14 (4)

From Jul 75 1896 14 38

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

General and Revenue Depts.

To

The President of the Bangalore City Municipality

Dated 6th

Despatched Nov. 1897

Received

General No. 5071

L. F. 303–96

Enclosure No.

His letter No 9223 27 Oct. 1897, submitting a report in regard to the land claimed by Viabhadrappa & others as belonging to Shahdad Ali Sait Ibhadri Wallace

I have the honour to request the favour of submission of a further report that the on the subject may be submitted on receipt of the information called for from the Bangalore Dy Commr

Bangalore Dy

15

OFFICE OF THE
5264
From 15-12-97
MAN OF M

T.C. (3)

The President,
Bangalore City Municipality.

Rel 7596-5

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore Genl & Rev: Dept Bangalore

Dated 13.
Despatched
Received
General No. 124 Dec/97
No.

Enclosure No.

Submitting further report in re to the land claimed by Veerathappa & others as belonging to Shaikdar Ali Saib Kadri Makkan.

LBB 15/12/97
Submitted for orders. The original report is in Sl Rels 39 below in L.I 303 376

37

Ord 16/12/97

No. 124

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,
City Bangalore, 13th December 1897.

From

The President, Municipal Commission,
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your Docket No. 5041/303-26 of the 6th ultimo, I have the honor to state that the Executive Engineer, Bangalore Division, informs that there is no record in his office of the road over the Makkam land near the old Pronier Ferry having been constructed under the guidance of any of his predecessors in office and that beyond tracing the road for the City Improvement Committee no work was actually

done in that Department.

The Taluq Amildar states that the land to the north and south of Albert Victor Road near the Mak-kan forms Survey No. 10 which is A 6- 23 in extent, out of which A 2- 23 8 are waste and the rest assessed at Rs 7- and that the land belongs to Government. He further states that the Makkan in question is not mentioned either in Survey No. 10 or 8.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obt. Servant

T. T. Cruttenden

Encl

BANGALORE CITY.

18 (61)

1898

From

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

General and Revenue Depts.

Jud 75-96-6

To

The President of the Bangalore City Municipality

Dated 18/1/98

Despatched

Received Rf 1443.

General No. 8170

L. F. 303-96

Enclosure No.

His letter No. 124 dated 13th Dec. 1897 submitting a report re the land claimed by Veerabhadrappa and others as belonging to ~~Shaikdar Khadru~~ Shaikdar Khadru Makhan

---

With reference to the above has the honor to request that the Pres. will be so good as to furnish the Govt. in order that the Govt. may be informed whether it has never been taken away from the Shaikdars

Govt. Secy.

17/1/98

19

8122
18/3/98 19 7 98

From

The President,

Bangalore City Municipality.

Jud. 75-96-7

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Gl and Rev Dpts
Bangalore

Dated March 98.

Despatched 19

Received

General No. 195-

No. 3.-75

Enclosure No.

Subject Submitting a map
Showing location of
Shabdaralli Sha Khadi
Makkan Land

1872
18/3/98
Submitted with
Original
M 19/3/98

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

No. City Bangalore, 17th March 1898

From

The President, Municipal Commission,

Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore

SIR,

Adverting to your Docket No: 8170 ~~8770~~ & 7303-96 dated 18th January 1898 I have the honour to submit herewith a map showing the location of the Makkan in question and to state that the portion taken away by the late City Improvement Committee for extension has been marked with red cross lines

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

[illegible]

To

Plot coloured ▨ shows land claimed
by Veerabadraya Pa. & others belonging to
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]



Manneran
for PRESIDENT, MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,
[illegible]
Asst. Overseer.

23

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

No. 217 City Bangalore, 16th April 1898

Ml. 75-96-8

From

The President, Municipal Commission,

Bangalore City.

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Financial Revenue Depart.
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your Docket
No. 12175 dated 28th ultimo, I have
the honor to state that it appears
there were a pond and some trees,
about ten, on the portion of the
ground said to belong to the
Makkandars of Shahdarally
Sha Khadri Makkan and taken
up by the late City Improvement
Committee. The Albert Victor Road
passed right over the pond and
the area of the pond, as now traced

2 B/

is about 292 Sq. yards, the area of the portion taken up for roadway and avenues being 292 Sq. yards.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obt. Servant.

T T Sevant

PRESIDENT, MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,
BANGALORE, CITY.

ES

Mil 75-96-910

15286

L.T. 303-96 23/7/98

To

The President

of the

Bangalore City Mcp.

His letter no 217 dated 16 April 1898

Has the honor to request that he will be so good as to submit early to this office the petitions mentioned in para 5 of his letter No. cited 23-10-97.

By order

BR. 20/5/98

for Secretary

Dy J 19/5/98

"A on file"

Submitted to Secretary. These petitions have been called for in accordance with the orders of the Rev Commissioner in Dn on office memo no 9 BR 4/6/98 Mg 4/6/98

Read Bangalore 7/6/98

Remarks by the Rev Cr & Dn.

Petitions seen.

In order of the 18th May. may issue. It will not have been delayed

10th June 1898

Put up draft which after being passed be transferred to Jud Branch for issue BR 11/6/98

Transferred to Jud Branch for issue the matter not being municipal BR 11/6/98

L 7599

105/21 25-5-98 1897-8 Mis 37/33

From

The President Gt-10
Bangalore City Municipality

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore in the Genl & Revenue Dept. (Bangalore)

Dated 27th May 98

Despatched

Received

General No 255 May 98
No.

Enclosure No 2

Mis Docket No 15285
27303-96

Of the 23d Instant

I have the honour to submit the two petitions called for in the above

PRESIDENT, MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,
BANGALORE CITY

(1)

Ref No: 2633

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE
BANGALORE.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Respected Uncle
Pleasure to write
Wish you Happy
Diwali
11/5/97

3294R
11-5-97

√

Read:- 3/Cal 75-96-11

Correspondence ending

with Docket No 2535 dated
from the Presdt. Bangalore City July
27th May 1898 in regard to the
claim preferred by Shabadaballa
Sha Khadir Mekkam for a
certain land said to have
been taken up by Govt without
any compensation being paid therefor

Order thereon

From the above it
would appear that the City
Improvement Committee regarded the land
in question
as Govt property and took
it up accordingly. Petitioners
preferred their claim once
in 1891 and again in 1895,
but no orders were passed
thereon.

The Condonement
produced by Petitioners in
proof of their alleged title
is undefended, but that a pond
and some trees did exist on the
land taken up by the

nabkhadrappa and two
ors of

In 1654-41 of 18-6-98
Hm 4018
Ex file of Papers

seems clear from the
President's letter to 217 dated
16th April 1898. Hence further
enquiry as to ~~whether the~~
~~land in question is Govt~~
~~land or Petitioner's land~~
the ownership of the land
seems desirable. As the
grievance, if any, which
the Petitioner may have to against
the Govt, or whose agent
the Improvement Committee
took up the land, the
matter is not municipal -
and the enquiry proposed to
will ~~accordingly~~ therefore be entrusted
to the Govt ~~and not~~ of the Municipality
~~of the~~ and not to the President of the
former, to whom the
correspondence is herewith
forwarded, is requested to
submit at an early date the
result of his enquiry into the
matter

As the information before
Govt. does not seem suffi-
cient to warrant the petitioner's
claim being rejected

BVR
11/6/98

To H. President Bangalore City for Secretary
" Dewan Bahadur Seshia Iyer Correspondence

6520 Mis 244
23/7/98
1898-99

From The Dy. Commissioner, Bangalore District. Jud 75-96-12

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore Genl & Rev Departments.

Dated 21st July 1898
Despatched 22nd do
Received
General No
No. 92
Enclosure No 1 & le

Govt. order No 16540-41 Misc 4018 dy 21st July 1898

Reports regarding the claim for compensation preferred by one Veerabadrappa & Others of Shahunalli. Shaikadre Makkans for certain land required from them for the Bangalore City Improvements Committee ---

23/7/98

Submitted to Secy
28/7/98
1/7/98

Get A Reader's Opn.
The petition may be rejected as the Petitioner failed to substantiate his title to the land in question.
28-7-98
Rejected
Merchandise
15/8/98

D. No. 92
Sec. Ufle

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 21st July 1898.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
Genl. & Rev. Departments.

Sir,

With reference to Government order No. 16540-41 Mis: 4018 of the 18th June 1898, forwarding for enquiry and report, original correspondence ending with docket No. 255 of the 27th May 1898, from the President of the City Municipality relating to the claim for compensation preferred by one Veerabadrappa and two others of Shabanalli Sha Kadri Sahukar for certain land said to have been taken up by the City Improvement Committee, I beg to submit herewith Mr. Assistant Commissioner P. Seetharamiah's memo from which it will be seen that the land in question belongs to Government, forming part of Survey No. 10, and that the petitioners failed to prove their right either by documentary or oral evidence.

2. The orognal Correspondence received with the order under reply together with the depositions of the petitioners are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be

Your most obedient servant

J. W. Stanbery Jr

Dy. Commissioner,

Genl.

Wms

5 35
687 3 2978, 28 Mis 75 96-13

MYSORE DEWAN

General Petition Depart

August 18.

Endorsement granted to Eerabhadrappa and Edwalli Sha Khadri Mahkan ungalore

to ~~their~~ Petition Registered No. 3294 dated ~~the~~ 10 ~~th~~ inst. praying that orders may be issued for grant of ~~per~~ satisfied to permutation to the ~~in~~ for ~~&~~ certain land ~~the~~ belonging to Mahkan, taken up by ~~the Bangalore City~~ the Govt

~~Petitioner is informed that~~

Petitioners are informed that as they have ~~not~~ failed to substantiate their title to the land referred to, their petition has ~~been~~ is rejected

"By Order"

Asst to the Secretary

~~Chief~~ Officer